

IRIS 2004-5/9

DE-Germany: Right to Install Satellite Dish Despite Cable Connection

According to a decision of the *Bundesgerichtshof* (Federal Supreme Court - *BGH*) of 22 January 2004, the owner of an apartment with a cable connection can install a satellite dish on his balcony if this is the only way of safeguarding his right to information.

In the *BGH's* opinion, there was no legal justification for preventing foreign apartment owners from installing a satellite dish even if they already had a broadband cable connection. This applied to the legal provisions governing the use of separate and joint property. Neither could such a right be restricted by regulations permitting the installation of an outdoor dish only with the written consent of the apartment administrator or decision of the apartment owners' association. According to the *BGH*, even the decision taken by the majority of apartment owners in the present case to prohibit the installation of satellite dishes did not limit the rights of foreign apartment owners. Their right to information from generally accessible sources, guaranteed in Art. 5.1.1 of the *Grundgesetz* (Basic Law), took precedence over the interests of other apartment owners. In the *BGH's* opinion, as foreign nationals, they had a particular right to information. That right could not be fulfilled by the one Polish TV channel that was available via cable. Of course, the interests of other residents should be taken into account in the installation process. For example, if several residents wished to install satellite dishes, they could be required to share one.

In its ruling, the *BGH* expressly left open the question of whether the discrepancy between the selection of channels available via cable and satellite that resulted from technical progress was such that German apartment owners should also be entitled to install a satellite dish even if they already had a cable connection. Referring to the European Commission Communication on the Application of the General Principles of Free Movement of Goods and Services Concerning the Use of Satellite Dishes of 27 June 2001 (COM(2001) 351 final, see IRIS 20018: 5), the *BGH* ruled that, in view of technical developments that meant that several hundred radio and TV channels could be received via satellite in Europe, it was questionable whether the range of media available via cable was sufficient to protect diversity of opinion. This situation could mean "that, in a broader sense, even German apartment owners could no longer simply be referred to the cable connection provided". Since in the present case the apartment owners were not German, the *BGH* did not (yet) need to answer this question.

• *Beschluss des Bundesgerichtshofs vom 22. Januar 2004, Aktenzeichen VZB 51/03* (Decision of the Federal Supreme Court, 22 January 2004, case no. VZB 51/03)

<http://merlin.obs.coe.int/redirect.php?id=8723>

DE

Carmen Palzer

Institute of European Media Law (EMR), Saarbrücken/ Brussels

The objective of IRIS is to publish information on legal and law-related policy developments that are relevant to the European audiovisual sector. Despite our efforts to ensure the accuracy of the content, the ultimate responsibility for the truthfulness of the facts on which we report is with the authors of the articles. Any opinions expressed in the articles are personal and should in no way be interpreted as representing the views of any organisations represented in its editorial board.

© European Audiovisual Observatory, Strasbourg (France)